1	JOHN M. GARRICK, ESQ. (BAR NO. 108	321)	
2	JOHN M. GARRICK, ESQ. (BAR NO. 108321) MELISSA A. IMMEL, ESQ. (BAR NO. 137082) ANDREW K. DOTY, ESQ. (BAR NO.135054) IVERSON, YOAKUM, PAPIANO & HATCH 624 South Grand Avenue, 27th Floor Los Angeles, California 90017 (213) 624-7444 - (213) 629-4563 (Fax)		
3	1VERSON, YOAKUM, PAPIANO & HATCH 624 South Grand Avenue, 27th Floor Los Angeles, California 90017		
4	(213) 624-7444 - (213) 629-4563 (Fax)		
5	Attorneys for Defendant ALDERWOODS GROUP, INC.		
6	,		
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	MARIA MAGSARILI and TONY MAGSARILI, on behalf of themselves	) Case No. C 05 2792 MEJ	
12	and those similarly situated,	{	
13	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND <del>[PROPOSED]</del> ORDER TO EXTEND TIME TO	
14	vs.	RESPOND TO PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT	
15 16	SERVICE CORPORATION INTERNATIONAL, ALDERWOODS	)	
17	GROUP, INC., STEWART ENTERPRISES, INC., HILLENBRAND		
18	INDUSTRIES, ÍNC. and BATESVILLE CASKET COMPANY		
19	Defendants.	)	
20		)	
21			
22	<u>STIPULATION</u>		
23	WHEREAS, on July 8, 2005, plaintiffs filed a Complaint in the above-captioned		
24	Court;		
25	WHEREAS, on or about July 11, 2005, plaintiffs served the Complaint upon		
26	Alderwoods Group, Inc. ("AGI") and a response to the Complaint would have been due		
27	on or before August 1, 2005;		
28	///		
iverson, yoakum, papiano & hatch	STIPIILATION AND IPROPOSFI	1 DI ORDER RE EXTENSION OF TIME	

**WHEREAS**, on or about July 19, 2005, plaintiffs served the Complaint upon Service Corporation International ("SCI") and a response to the Complaint would have been due on or before August 8, 2005;

WHEREAS, on or about July 18, 2005, plaintiffs served the Complaint upon Stewart Enterprises, Inc. ("Stewart") and a response to the Complaint would have been due on or before August 8, 2005;

WHEREAS, on or about July 13, 2005, plaintiffs served the Complaint upon Hillenbrand Industries, Inc. ("Hillenbrand") and a response to the Complaint would have been due on or before August 2, 2005;

WHEREAS, on or about July 11, 2005, plaintiffs served the Complaint upon Batesville Casket Company, Inc. ("Batesville") and a response to the Complaint would have been due on or before August 1, 2005;

WHEREAS, the Complaint in the above referenced matter is a purported class action that is substantially similar and involves the same defendants as the purported class action entitled Funeral Consumer Alliance, Inc., et.al. v. Service Corporation International, et. al. Case No. C 05 01804 JL ("FCA case") previously filed in the above entitled court;

WHEREAS, all defendants in the FCA case have moved to dismiss the FCA case pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6);

WHEREAS, the defendants in the above referenced matter contemplate filing Motions to Dismiss that are very similar, if not nearly identical, to the ones filed in the FCA case;

WHEREAS, the parties agree that it would serve the interests of judicial economy to await the outcome of the Motions to Dismiss in the FCA case prior to responding to the complaint in the above captioned matter;

WHEREAS, the hearings on the Motions to Dismiss in the FCA case are set for September 8, 2005;

///

## 

1	WHEREAS, on July 28, 2005, plaintiffs agreed to grant AGI, SCI, Stewart,		
2	Hillenbrand and Batesville an extension of time to answer or otherwise respond to		
3	plaintiffs' Complaint so that defendants must now answer or otherwise respond to the		
4	Complaint on or before September 22, 2005.		
5	NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED that		
6	AGI, SCI, Stewart, Hillenbrand and Batesville may have up to and including		
7	September 22, 2005 to answer or otherwise respond to plaintiffs' Complaint.		
8			
9	DATED: July 29, 2005	IVERSON, YOAKUM, PAPIANO & HATCH	
10			
11		BY: JOHN M. GARRICK	
12		MELISSA A. IMMEL Attorneys for Defendant	
13		ALDERWOODS GROUP, INC.	
14	Dated: July, 2005	HOWREY LLP	
15			
16		By:	
17		ROBERT E. GOODING, JR. Attorneys for Defendant STEWART ENTERPRISES, INC.	
18		STEWART ENTERPRISES, INC.	
19	Dated: July $29$ , 2005		
20	Dated: July <u>&amp; 1</u> , 2005	BRACEWELL & GIULIANI LLP	
21		De Clarker MCh	
23		By: (MMW///Zanova) J. CLIFFORD GUNTER, III	
24		ANDREW M. EDISON Attorneys for Defendant Service	
25		CORPÓRATION INTERNATIONAL	
26	No.		
27			
28			
IVERSON, YOAKUM,		2	
PAPIANO & HATCH		3	

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE EXTENSION OF TIME

	1		
. 1	WHEREAS, on July 28,	WHEREAS, on July 28, 2005, plaintiffs agreed to grant AGI, SCI, Stewart,	
2	Hillenbrand and Batesville an extension of time to answer or otherwise respond to		
3	plaintiffs' Complaint so that defendants must now answer or otherwise respond to the		
4	Complaint on or before September 22, 2005.		
5	NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED that		
6	AGI, SCI, Stewart, Hillenbrand and Batesville may have up to and including		
7	September 22, 2005 to answer or otherwise respond to plaintiffs' Complaint.		
8		complaint.	
9	DATED: July 29, 2005	IVERSON, YOAKUM, PAPIANO & HATCH	
10		TOAKOW, PAPIANO & HATCH	
11		BY:	
12		JOHN M. GARRICK MELISSA A. IMMEL	
13		Attorneys for Defendant ALDERWOODS GROUP, INC.	
14	Dated: July 29, 2005	and the second second	
15	, 2005	HOWREY LLP	
16			
17		ROBERT E. GOODING, IR.	
18		Attorneys for Defendant STEWART ENTERPRISES, INC.	
19			
20	Dated: July, 2005	BRACEWELL & GIULIANI LLP	
21			
22	3	Ву:	
23		J. CLIFFORD GUNTER, III Attorneys for Defendant Service	
24		CORPORATION INTERNATIONAL	
25			
26			
27			
28			
IVERSON, YOAKUM, PAPIANO & HATCH		3	
μ —	STIPILIATION AND IPRO	POSEDI ORDER RE EXTENSION OF TIME	

1	Dated: July <u>29</u> , 2005	BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP		
2	<i>g</i>			
3		By: John ove 15.T.		
4		ØOHN F. COVE, JR. Attorneys for Defendant		
5		HILLENBRAND INDUSTRIES, INC.		
6	Dated: July <u>29</u> , 2005	BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP		
7				
8		By: John ove 5.7.		
9		JOHN F. COVE, JR. Attorneys for Defendant		
10		BATESVILLE CASKET COMPANY, INC.		
11	7 0			
12	Dated: July <u>29</u> , 2005	HAGENS BERMEN SOBOL SHAPIRO, LLP		
13		More / // Train		
14		GEORGE W. SAMPSON		
15		Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
16				
17	<del>-frorc</del>	OSED  ORDER		
18	Good cause appearing therefore an	d upon the stipulation of the Parties,		
19	II IS THEREFORE ORDERED th	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Defendants AGI, SCI, Stewart, Hillenbrand		
20	and Batesville have up to and including September 22, 2005 to answer or otherwise			
21	respond to plaintiffs' complaint:			
22				
23	DATED: August 1, , 2005			
24	STES DISTRICT	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
25	U	nited States Magistrate Judge		
26				
27	Z Judge Maria Elena James			
28				
KUM,	DISTRICTO	. 4		